

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

| | |
|--|---|
| Jacqueline Anderson, <p style="text-align: center;">Plaintiff,</p> <p style="text-align: center;">-v-</p> Jewish Home Lifecare, Manhattan, <p style="text-align: center;">Defendant.</p> | <p>Civ. Action #:</p> <p><u>Complaint</u></p> <p>Date Filed:</p> <p>Jury Trial Demanded</p> |
|--|---|

Plaintiff Jacqueline Anderson (“Plaintiff” or “Anderson”), by Abdul Hassan Law Group, PLLC, her attorney, complaining of Defendant Jewish Home Lifecare, Manhattan (“Defendant”), respectfully alleges as follows:

NATURE OF THE ACTION

1. Plaintiff alleges, that she was employed by Defendant and pursuant to the Fair Labor Standards Act ("FLSA"), 29 U.S.C. §§ 216 (b), she is: (i) entitled to unpaid overtime wages from Defendant for working more than forty hours in a week and not being paid an overtime rate of at least 1.5 times her regular rate for each and all such hours over forty in a week; and (ii) entitled to maximum liquidated damages and attorneys’ fees pursuant to the Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S.C. § 201 et seq. including 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).

2. Plaintiff further complains pursuant to New York Labor Law, that she is: (i) entitled to unpaid overtime wages from Defendant for working more than forty hours in a week and not being paid an overtime rate of at least 1.5 times her regular rate for such hours over forty in a week; and (ii) entitled to maximum liquidated damages and attorneys’ fees, pursuant to the New York Minimum Wage Act ("NYMWA"), N.Y. Lab. Law §§ 650 et seq., including NYLL § 663, and the regulations thereunder.

3. Plaintiff is also entitled to recover her unpaid wages, under Article 6 of the New York Labor Law including Section 191, 193, and maximum compensation for not receiving notices and statements required by NYLL 195, under Article 6 of the New York Labor Law and is also entitled to maximum liquidated damages, and attorneys’ fees pursuant to Section 198 of the New York Labor Law.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

4. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1337 and supplemental jurisdiction over Plaintiff’s state law claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367. In addition, the Court has jurisdiction over Plaintiff’s claim under the Fair Labor Standards Act pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216 (b).
5. Venue is proper in the Southern District of New York pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) and 29 U.S.C. § 216 (b).
6. This Court is empowered to issue a declaratory judgment pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201 2202.

THE PARTIES

7. At all times relevant herein, Plaintiff Jacqueline Anderson (“Plaintiff” or “Anderson”) was an adult, over eighteen years old, who resides in Kings County in the State of New York.
8. At all times relevant herein, Defendant Jewish Home Lifecare, Manhattan (“JHLM”) was a New York corporation.
9. At all times relevant herein, Defendant controlled the employment of Plaintiff and was responsible for hiring, firing, scheduling, controlling, managing, supervising, and record-keeping as to Plaintiff’s employment, among other employment functions, and performed such functions as to Plaintiff.
10. Upon information and belief and at all times relevant herein, Defendant JHLM owned/operated a principal place of business in New York County, New York located at 120 West, 106th Street, New York NY 10025, where Plaintiff was employed.
11. At all times relevant herein, Plaintiff was employed by Defendant.

STATEMENT OF FACTS

12. Upon information and belief, and at all relevant times herein, Defendant was in the business

of providing health and home care services to the public.

13. Upon information and belief, and at all relevant times herein, Defendant operated several locations and employed over 100 employees.
14. Plaintiff was employed by Defendant from in or around July 2018 to on or about March 16, 2024.
15. At all times relevant herein, Defendant employed Plaintiff as a manual worker caring for residents, etc., throughout her workday with Defendant.
16. At all times relevant herein, Plaintiff was an hourly employee of Defendant and her last hourly rate of pay was about \$18.85 an hour.
17. At all times relevant herein, Plaintiff worked about 41 to 44 or more hours each week; 5 or more days each week for Defendant during her employment with Defendant - with the exception of about 2-4 weeks each year.
18. At all times relevant herein, Plaintiff was paid at her straight regular rate for about 35 hours worked each week and Plaintiff was not paid any wages for her remaining hours worked each week including about 1 to 4 overtime hours worked each week and 5 non-overtime hours worked each week during her employment with Defendant, with the exception of about 2-4 weeks each year.
19. In addition, Plaintiff was required to incur expenses in the performance of her work for Defendant during the period of her employment such as for use of her cellphone, etc. However, Defendant failed to reimburse Plaintiff for these costs and expenses - Defendant failed to reimburse Plaintiff for about \$10.00 to \$15.00 or more each week, which constitutes an unlawful wage deduction under the FLSA and NYLL, including NYLL § 193. These unlawful deductions and failure to reimburse also constitute an under payment of wages and overtime wages under the FLSA and NYLL.
20. A more precise statement of the hours and wages may be made when Plaintiff obtains the wage and time records Defendant was required to keep under the FLSA and NYLL. *Accurate*

copies of Plaintiff's wage and time records that Defendant was required to keep pursuant to 29 USC § 211, 29 CFR § 516 and NYLL § 195, 12 NYCRR §142, are incorporated herein by reference.

21. At all times relevant herein and for the time Plaintiff was employed by Defendant, Defendant failed and willfully failed to pay Plaintiff an overtime rate of at least 1.5 times her regular rate of pay for each and all hours worked in excess of forty hours each week during her employment with Defendant.
22. At all times relevant herein, Defendant, failed to provide Plaintiff with the required notice at the time of her hiring pursuant to NYLL § 195(1) containing, among other things, her rate of pay, designated payday, and the name, address, and telephone number for Defendant and Plaintiff never received such a notice. See i.e. *Alonso Vazquez v. Azoulay*, 834 F. App'x 653, fn 1 (2d Cir. 2021).
23. At all times relevant herein, Defendant failed to provide Plaintiff with the required wage statements pursuant to NYLL § 195(3) – the wage statements provided to Plaintiff showed fewer hours than what she actually worked and less wages than she actually earned – by not showing the work hours and earned wages claimed herein, which prevented her from determining and seeking payment for the precise amount of her unpaid wages and she was thus harmed by being deprived of her income for longer than she would have been had she been able to timely raise her underpayment earlier. See i.e. *Alonso Vazquez v. Azoulay*, 834 F. App'x 653, fn 1 (2d Cir. 2021).
24. At all times relevant herein, Defendant's failure to provide Plaintiff with the required wage notice and wage statements, caused Plaintiff to suffer injury because Plaintiff was underpaid and wasn't advised that she was due overtime wages when she worked the additional overtime hours over 40 each week claimed in this action — that is, she didn't know she was supposed to be paid additional overtime and other wages claimed in this action, and she lost out on the ability to advocate for such wages and be paid according to the requirements of the law such as the FLSA and NYLL.
25. At all times relevant herein, Defendant's failure to provide Plaintiff with the required wage notices and wage statements, Defendant forced Plaintiff to spend time accounting and

tabulating the information not provided such as the unpaid overtime hours and wages claimed herein, as best as she can – time is money and the several hours spent doing this would have been saved had Defendant carried out its legal obligations to provide Plaintiff with the required wage notice and wage statements which provides this type of accounting and tabulation and a lot more such as the amounts each pay period and year to date totals.

26. Upon information and belief and at all times relevant herein, Defendant had annual revenues and/or expenditures in excess of \$500,000.
27. Upon information and belief and at all times relevant herein, Defendant conducted business with vendors/entities/persons within the State of New York.
28. Upon information and belief, and at all times relevant herein, Defendant utilized the instrumentalities of interstate commerce such as the United States mail, internet electronic mail, messaging, payroll application, timekeeping, websites as a regular/daily part of the operation of Defendant.
29. Upon information and belief and at all times relevant herein, Defendant utilized the goods, materials, and services through interstate commerce.
30. At all times relevant herein, Defendant as a regular part of its business, made payment of taxes and other monies to agencies and entities outside the State of New York.
31. Upon information and belief, and at all relevant times herein, Defendant conducted business with mortgage companies, banks, insurance companies, and internet/email service providers within and outside the State of New York.
32. Defendant as a regular part of its business, engaged in credit card transactions involving banks and other institutions outside the state of New York.
33. Upon information and belief, and at all relevant times herein, Defendant failed to display federal and state minimum wage/overtime posters as required by the FLSA and NYLL (29 CFR 516.4; 12 NYCRR 142-2.8).
34. Upon information and belief, and at all relevant times herein, Defendant failed to notify

Plaintiff of her federal and state minimum wage and overtime rights and failed to inform Plaintiff that she could seek enforcement of such rights through the government enforcement agencies. As such, equitable tolling applies in this case. See i.e. *Veltri v. Building Service 32B-J Pension Fund*, 393 F.3d 318, 324 (2d Cir. 2004); *Kim v. Kum Gang, Inc.*, No. 12 CIV. 6344 MHD, 2015 WL 2222438, at 33–34 (S.D.N.Y. Mar. 19, 2015).

35. “Plaintiff” as used in this complaint refers to the named Plaintiff.
36. The “present” or the “present time” as used in this complaint refers to the date this complaint was signed.

AS AND FOR A FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

FAIR LABOR STANDARDS ACT - 29 U.S.C 201 et Seq. (Overtime wages)

37. Plaintiff alleges and incorporates by reference the allegations in paragraphs 1 through 36 above as if set forth fully and at length herein.
38. At all times relevant to this action, Plaintiff was employed by Defendant within the meaning of the FLSA.
39. At all times relevant to this action, Plaintiff was engaged in commerce and/or in the production of goods for commerce and/or Defendant, constituted an enterprise(s) engaged in commerce within the meaning of 29 U.S.C. §§ 203, 207.
40. At all times relevant herein, Defendant transacted commerce and business in excess of \$500,000.00 annually or had revenues in excess of \$500,000.00 annually.
41. At all times relevant herein, Defendant failed and willfully failed to pay Plaintiff overtime compensation at rates not less than 1.5 times her regular rate of pay for each hour worked in excess of forty hours in a week, in violation of 29 U.S.C. § 207.

Relief Demanded

42. Due to Defendant’s FLSA overtime wage violations, Plaintiff is entitled to recover from Defendant, her unpaid overtime wages, maximum liquidated damages, attorneys’ fees, and costs of the action, pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).

AS AND FOR A SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

NYLL 650 et Seq. & 12 NYCRR § 142-2.2 (Overtime Wages)

43. Plaintiff alleges and incorporates by reference the allegations in paragraphs 1 through 41 above as if set forth fully and at length herein.
44. At all times relevant to this action, Plaintiff was employed by Defendant within the meaning of the New York Labor Law, §§ 2 and 651 and the regulations and wage orders thereunder.
45. At all times relevant herein, Defendant failed and willfully failed to pay Plaintiff overtime compensation at rates not less than 1.5 times her regular rate of pay and 1.5 times the applicable New York State Minimum Wage rate for each hour worked in excess of forty hours in a week, in violation of the New York Minimum Wage Act and its implementing regulations and wage orders. N.Y. Lab. Law §§ 650 et seq., including 12 NYCRR § 142-2.2.

Relief Demanded

46. Due to Defendant's New York Labor Law violations, Plaintiff is entitled to recover from Defendant, her unpaid overtime wages, non-overtime wages, prejudgment interest, maximum liquidated damages, reasonable attorneys' fees, and costs of the action, pursuant to N.Y. Labor L. § 663(1).

AS AND FOR A THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

NYLL § 190, 191, 193, 195 and 198 – Unpaid and Withheld Wages

47. Plaintiff alleges and incorporates each and every allegation contained in paragraphs 1 through 46 above with the same force and effect as if fully set forth at length herein.
48. At all times relevant to this action, Plaintiff was employed by Defendant within the meaning of the New York Labor law, §§ 190 et seq., including §§ 191, 193, 195 and 198 and the applicable regulations thereunder.
49. At all relevant times herein, Defendant violated and willfully violated Plaintiff's rights under NY Labor Law § 190 et seq. including NY Labor Law §§ 191, 193 and 198 by failing to pay Plaintiff all her wages, including her unpaid overtime wages, wage deductions, as required

under NY Labor Law § 190 et seq.

50. At all times relevant herein, Defendant failed and willfully failed to provide Plaintiff with the notice(s) required by NYLL 195(1) – Plaintiff is therefore entitled to and seeks to recover in this action the maximum recovery for this violation, plus attorneys’ fees and costs pursuant to NYLL 198 including NYLL 198(1-b), as well as an injunction directing Defendant to comply with NYLL 195(1).

51. At all times relevant herein, Defendant failed and willfully failed to provide Plaintiff with the statement(s) required by NYLL 195(3) – Plaintiff is therefore entitled to and seeks to recover in this action the maximum recovery for this violation, plus attorneys’ fees and costs pursuant to NYLL 198 including NYLL 198(1-d), as well as an injunction directing Defendant to comply with NYLL 195(1).

Relief Demanded

52. Due to Defendant’s New York Labor Law Article 6 violations including violation of sections 191, 193 and 198, Plaintiff is entitled to recover from Defendant, her entire unpaid wages, including her unpaid overtime wages, non-overtime wages, wage deductions, maximum liquidated damages, prejudgment interest, maximum recovery for violations of NYLL §195(1) and NYLL § 195(3), reasonable attorneys’ fees, and costs of the action, pursuant to N.Y. Labor Law § 190 et seq. including § 198.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests that this Court grant the following relief:

53. Declare Defendant to be in violation of the Plaintiff’s rights under the FLSA and New York Labor Law – 12 NYCRR § 142 and Article 6 of the NYLL – NYLL § 190 et Seq.

54. As to the **First Cause of Action**, award Plaintiff her unpaid overtime wages, maximum liquidated damages, reasonable attorneys’ fees, and costs of the action, pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).

55. As to the **Second Cause of Action**, award Plaintiff her unpaid overtime wages due under the New York Minimum Wage Act and the Regulations thereunder including 12 NYCRR §§

142-2.2, together with maximum liquidated damages, prejudgment interest, costs and attorney's fees pursuant to NYLL § 663;

56. As to the **Third Cause of Action**, award Plaintiff any and all outstanding wages, including her entire unpaid overtime wages, wage deductions, due under law, maximum liquidated damages, maximum recovery for violations of NYLL § 195(1) and NYLL § 195(3), reasonable attorneys' fees, and costs of the action, pursuant to N.Y. Labor Law § 190 et seq. including § 198.
57. Award Plaintiff prejudgment interest on all monies due.
58. Award Plaintiff any relief requested or stated in the preceding paragraphs but which has not been requested in the WHEREFORE clause, in addition to the relief requested in the wherefore clause;
59. Award Plaintiff such other, further and different relief as the Court deems just and proper.

**Dated: Queens Village, New York
December 29, 2025**

Abdul Hassan Law Group, PLLC

/s/ Abdul Hassan

By: Abdul K. Hassan, Esq. (AH6510)

Counsel for plaintiff

215-28 Hillside Avenue

Queens Village, NY 11427

Tel: 718-740-1000

Fax: 718-740-2000

Email: abdul@abdulhassan.com